

Publication

Head-to-head comparison of nasal and nasopharyngeal sampling using SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen testing in Lesotho

Journal Article (Originalarbeit in einer wissenschaftlichen Zeitschrift)

ID 4665561

Author(s) Labhardt, N. D.; González Fernández, L.; Katende, B.; Muhairwe, J.; Bresser, M.; Amstutz, A.; Glass, T. R.; Ruhwald, M.; Sacks, J. A.; Escadafal, C.; Mareka, M.; Mooko, S. M.; de Vos, M.; Reither, K.

Author(s) at UniBasel Labhardt, Niklaus ; Gonzalez Fernandez, Flor Lucia ; Bresser, Moniek ; Amstutz, Alain ; Glass, Tracy ; Reither, Klaus ;

Year 2023

Title Head-to-head comparison of nasal and nasopharyngeal sampling using SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen testing in Lesotho

Journal PLoS One

Volume 18

Number 3

Pages / Article-Number e0278653

Mesh terms Child; Female; Humans; Adult; Male; SARS-CoV-2; Lesotho; COVID-19, diagnosis; Nose; Nasopharynx

OBJECTIVES: To assess the real-world diagnostic performance of nasal and nasopharyngeal swabs for SD Biosensor STANDARD Q COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Diagnostic Test (Ag-RDT). **METHODS:** Individuals >/=5 years with COVID-19 compatible symptoms or history of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 presenting at hospitals in Lesotho received two nasopharyngeal and one nasal swab. Ag-RDT from nasal and nasopharyngeal swabs were performed as point-of-care on site, the second nasopharyngeal swab used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as the reference standard. **RESULTS:** Out of 2198 participants enrolled, 2131 had a valid PCR result (61% female, median age 41 years, 8% children), 84.5% were symptomatic. Overall PCR positivity rate was 5.8%. The sensitivity for nasopharyngeal, nasal, and combined nasal and nasopharyngeal Ag-RDT result was 70.2% (95%CI: 61.3-78.0), 67.3% (57.3-76.3) and 74.4% (65.5-82.0), respectively. The respective specificity was 97.9% (97.1-98.4), 97.9% (97.2-98.5) and 97.5% (96.7-98.2). For both sampling modalities, sensitivity was higher in participants with symptom duration </= 3days versus /= 80%. The high agreement between nasal and nasopharyngeal sampling suggests that for Ag-RDT nasal sampling is a good alternative to nasopharyngeal sampling.

ISSN/ISBN 1932-6203 (Electronic)1932-6203 (Linking)

URL <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278653>

edoc-URL <https://edoc.unibas.ch/94542/>

Full Text on edoc Available;

Digital Object Identifier DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0278653

PubMed ID <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36862684>

ISI-Number WOS:000943271500007

Document type (ISI) Journal Article