

Publication

Machine learning-based lifetime breast cancer risk reclassification compared with the BOADICEA model: impact on screening recommendations

JournalArticle (Originalarbeit in einer wissenschaftlichen Zeitschrift)

ID 4652540

Author(s) Ming, C.; Viassolo, V.; Probst-Hensch, N.; Dinov, I. D.; Chappuis, P. O.; Katapodi, M. C. Author(s) at UniBasel Probst Hensch, Nicole ; Katapodi, Maria ;

Year 2020

Title Machine learning-based lifetime breast cancer risk reclassification compared with the BOADICEA model: impact on screening recommendations

Journal British Journal of Cancer (BJC)

Volume 123

Number 5

Pages / Article-Number 860-867

Mesh terms Adult; Aged; Breast Neoplasms, epidemiology; Early Detection of Cancer; Female; Humans; Machine Learning; Middle Aged; Retrospective Studies; Risk; Young Adult

BACKGROUND: The clinical utility of machine-learning (ML) algorithms for breast cancer risk prediction and screening practices is unknown. We compared classification of lifetime breast cancer risk based on ML and the BOADICEA model. We explored the differences in risk classification and their clinical impact on screening practices. METHODS: We used three different ML algorithms and the BOADICEA model to estimate lifetime breast cancer risk in a sample of 112,587 individuals from 2481 families from the Oncogenetic Unit, Geneva University Hospitals. Performance of algorithms was evaluated using the area under the receiver operating characteristic (AU-ROC) curve. Risk reclassification was compared for 36,146 breast cancer-free women of ages 20-80. The impact on recommendations for mammography surveillance was based on the Swiss Surveillance Protocol. RESULTS: The predictive accuracy of ML-based algorithms (0.843 </= AU-ROC </= 0.889) was superior to BOADICEA (AU-ROC = 0.639) and reclassified 35.3% of women in different risk categories. The largest reclassification had the largest impact on screening practices of women younger than 50. CONCLUSION: ML-based reclassification of lifetime breast cancer risk occurred in approximately one in three women. Reclassification is important for younger women because it impacts clinical decision- making for the initiation of screening.

Publisher Springer Nature

ISSN/ISBN 0007-0920 ; 1532-1827

URL https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-020-0937-0

edoc-URL https://edoc.unibas.ch/91190/

Full Text on edoc Available;

Digital Object Identifier DOI 10.1038/s41416-020-0937-0

PubMed ID http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32565540

ISI-Number WOS:000541687700001

Document type (ISI) Journal Article