

## Publication

## Prognostic biomarkers in primary progressive multiple sclerosis: validating and scrutinizing multimodal evoked potentials

**JournalArticle (Originalarbeit in einer wissenschaftlichen Zeitschrift)****ID** 4651609**Author(s)** Hardmeier, M.; Schlaeger, R.; Lascano, A. M.; Toffolet, L.; Schindler, C.; Gobbi, C.; Lalive, P.; Kuhle, J.; Kappos, L.; Fuhr, P.**Author(s) at UniBasel** [Schindler, Christian](#) ;**Year** 2022**Title** Prognostic biomarkers in primary progressive multiple sclerosis: validating and scrutinizing multimodal evoked potentials**Journal** Clinical neurophysiology**Volume** 137**Pages / Article-Number** 152-158**Keywords** Biomarker; Clinical trial design; Evoked Potentials; Prognosis; Progressive Multiple Sclerosis; Quantitative EP Score; competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared; to influence the work reported in this paper.**Mesh terms** Biomarkers; Disability Evaluation; Disease Progression; Evoked Potentials, physiology; Humans; Multiple Sclerosis; Multiple Sclerosis, Chronic Progressive, diagnosis; Prognosis

**OBJECTIVE:** To validate the prognostic value of multimodal evoked potentials (mmEP) in primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS) and to determine the most predictive EP-modalities. **METHODS:** Thirty-nine patients with PPMS (expanded disability status scale (EDSS): 2.0-6.5; mean clinical follow-up: 2.8 years) had visual (VEP), upper and lower limb somatosensory (SEP) and motor EP (MEP) at baseline. Quantitative EP-scores for single (qVEP, qSEP, qMEP) and combined modalities were correlated to EDSS and compared to previously published data of 21 PPMS patients. Predictors of EDSS-change were analyzed in pooled data by linear regression. **RESULTS:** Samples were comparable. Except qVEP, all EP-scores were correlated to EDSS at baseline ( $Rho: 0.45-0.69$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ) and follow-up ( $Rho: 0.59-0.80$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ). Combined EP-modalities significantly predicted EDSS-change ( $R(2)_{adj}: 0.24$ ), while EDSS and age did not. Tibial qSEP ( $R(2)_{adj}: 0.22$ ) and qMEP ( $R(2)_{adj}: 0.26$ ) were the best single modality predictors, outperformed by their combination ( $R(2)_{adj}: 0.32$ ). **CONCLUSIONS:** Quantitative EP-scores predict up to 32% of EDSS-change over three years. Modalities representing motor and long tract function carry the main prognostic information. **SIGNIFICANCE:** Replication of previous results corroborates the use of mmEP as a prognostic biomarker candidate in PPMS.

**ISSN/ISBN** 1388-2457**URL** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2022.02.019>**edoc-URL** <https://edoc.unibas.ch/90529/>**Full Text on edoc** Available;**Digital Object Identifier DOI** 10.1016/j.clinph.2022.02.019**PubMed ID** <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35316624>**ISI-Number** WOS:000791223500017**Document type (ISI)** Journal Article