

Publication

Defining an age cut-off for older offenders: a systematic review of literature

Journalitem (Reviews, Editorials, Rezensionen, Urteilsanmerkungen etc. in einer wissenschaftlichen Zeitschrift)

ID 4606943

Author(s) Merkt, Helene; Haesen, Sophie; Meyer, Leila; Kressig, Reto W.; Elger, Bernice S.; Wangmo, Tenzin

Author(s) at UniBasel Seaward, Helene ; Elger, Bernice Simone ; Wangmo, Tenzin ; Kressig, Reto W. ; Haesen, Sophie ; Meyer, Leila ;

Year 2020

Title Defining an age cut-off for older offenders: a systematic review of literature

Journal International Journal of Prisoner Health

Volume 16 Number 2 Pages 95-116

Keywords Mental health; Accelerated aging; Aging prisoners; Older offenders; Older prisoners; Somatic health

Mesh terms Science & TechnologyLife Sciences & BiomedicinePublic, Environmental & Occupational HealthPublic, Environmental & Occupational Health

Purposeln the literature, 65 years is commonly used as the age to designate an older person in the community. When studying older prisoners, there is much variation. The purpose of this paper is to investigate how researchers define older offenders and for what reasons. Design/methodology/approachThe authors reviewed articles on health and well-being of older offenders to assess terminology used to describe this age group, the chosen age cut-offs distinguishing younger offenders from older offenders, the arguments provided to support this choice as well as the empirical base cited in this context. FindingsThe findings show that the age cut-off of 50 years and the term "older" were most frequently used by researchers in the field. The authors find eight main arguments given to underscore the use of specific age cut-offs delineating older offenders. They outline the reasoning provided for each argument and evaluate it for its use to define older offenders. Originality/valueWith this review, it is hoped to stimulate the much-needed discussion advancing towards a uniform definition of the older offender. Such a uniform definition would make future research more comparable and ensure that there is no ambiguity when researchers state that the study population is "older offenders".

Publisher Emerald

ISSN/ISBN 1744-9200 ; 1744-9219 edoc-URL https://edoc.unibas.ch/79482/

Full Text on edoc Available:

Digital Object Identifier DOI 10.1108/IJPH-11-2019-0060

ISI-Number 000524460700001 Document type (ISI) Review