

Publication

Peer review and publication delay

**JournalArticle (Originalarbeit in einer wissenschaftlichen Zeitschrift)**

**ID** 4514428

**Author(s)** Fernandez-Llimos, Fernando

**Author(s) at UniBasel** [Arnet, Isabelle](#) ;

**Year** 2019

**Title** Peer review and publication delay

**Journal** Pharmacy Practice (Granada)

**Volume** 17

**Number** 1

**Pages / Article-Number** 1502

**Keywords** Open Access Publishing, Peer Review, Periodicals as Topic, Research

Selecting peer reviewers is a crucial stage of the editorial process that ensures the quality of scholarly publications. An alternative to selecting peer reviewers from data bases created with expressions of interest of volunteers consists in systematically searching PubMed for similar articles and inviting their authors to act as peer reviewers. Although this process might identify more appropriate peers, it also can increase the time of the editorial process. In 2018, Pharmacy Practice had to invite 4.70 (SE=0.33) potential reviewers per one accepting. The time from the first reviewer invitation to the last reviewer report received was 61 days (SE=2.1). These figures confirm the existence of a peer review crisis which is significantly increasing the publication delay.

**Publisher** CENTRO INVESTIGACIONES & PUBLICACIONES FARMACEUTICAS

**ISSN/ISBN** 1885-642X

**edoc-URL** <https://edoc.unibas.ch/74494/>

**Full Text on edoc** No;

**Digital Object Identifier DOI** 10.18549/PharmPract.2019.1.1502

**PubMed ID** <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31015883>

**ISI-Number** WOS:000508118300017

**Document type (ISI)** Journal Article