

## Publication

### Approximation of bi-variate functions : singular value decomposition versus sparse grids

#### Journal Article (Originalarbeit in einer wissenschaftlichen Zeitschrift)

ID 2359745

**Author(s)** Griebel, Michael; Harbrecht, Helmut

**Author(s) at UniBasel** [Harbrecht, Helmut](#) ;

**Year** 2014

**Title** Approximation of bi-variate functions : singular value decomposition versus sparse grids

**Journal** IMA journal of numerical analysis

**Volume** 34

**Number** 1

**Pages / Article-Number** 28-54

**Keywords** singular value decomposition, sparse grids, complexity

We compare the cost complexities of two approximation schemes for functions  $f \in H^p(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$  which live on the product domain  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  of sufficiently smooth domains  $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , namely the singular value / Karhunen-Loeve decomposition and the sparse grid representation. Here we assume that suitable finite element methods with associated fixed order  $r$  of accuracy are given on the domains  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ . Then, the sparse grid approximation essentially needs only  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-q})$  with  $q = \frac{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}{r}$  unknowns to reach a prescribed accuracy  $\varepsilon$  provided that the smoothness of  $f$  satisfies  $p \geq \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}$ , which is an almost optimal rate. The singular value decomposition produces this rate only if  $f$  is analytical since otherwise the decay of the singular values is not fast enough. If  $p < \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}$ , then the sparse grid approach gives essentially the rate  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-q})$  with  $q = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{p}$  while, for the singular value decomposition, we can only prove the rate  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-q})$  with  $q = \frac{2\min\{r, p\}\min\{n_1, n_2\} + 2p\max\{n_1, n_2\}}{(2p - \min\{n_1, n_2\})\min\{r, p\}}$ . We derive the resulting complexities, compare the two approaches and present numerical results which demonstrate that these rates are also achieved in numerical practice.

If  $p < \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}$ , then the sparse grid approach gives essentially the rate  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-q})$  with  $q = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{p}$  while, for the singular value decomposition, we can only prove the rate  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-q})$  with  $q = \frac{2\min\{r, p\}\min\{n_1, n_2\} + 2p\max\{n_1, n_2\}}{(2p - \min\{n_1, n_2\})\min\{r, p\}}$ . We derive the resulting complexities, compare the two approaches and present numerical results which demonstrate that these rates are also achieved in numerical practice.

**Publisher** Oxford University Press

**ISSN/ISBN** 0272-4979

**edoc-URL** <http://edoc.unibas.ch/dok/A6223408>

**Full Text on edoc** No;

**Digital Object Identifier DOI** 10.1093/imanum/drs047

**ISI-Number** WOS:000330833000002

**Document type (ISI)** Article